

The FOS Approach to Cancellation of Instalment Contracts

1	At a glance	2
1.1	Scope	2
1.2	Summary	2
2	In detail	3
2.1	Cancelling an insurance contract	3
2.2	Cancelling an insurance contract without notice	4
2.3	Sending reminder letters	5
3	Context	8
3.1	Case studies	8
3.2	References	10

We have created a series of FOS Approach documents, such as this one, to help consumers and financial services providers better understand how we reach decisions about key issues.

These documents explain the way we approach some common issues and dispute types that we see at FOS. However, it is important to understand that each dispute that comes to us is unique, so this information is a guide only. No determination (decision) can be seen as a precedent for future cases, and no FOS Approach document can cover everything you might want to know about key issues.

1 At a glance

1.1 Scope

FOS reviews disputes where a financial services provider (FSP) denies a claim because a general insurance contract was cancelled due to the consumer failing to pay a premium.

This paper discusses FOS's approach to such disputes and will be useful for FSPs involved in the general insurance industry, their customers and representatives.

1.2 Summary

FOS considers the following matters in these types of disputes:

1. when the right of cancellation occurred
2. whether the FSP exercised its right of cancellation in a clear and unequivocal manner
3. where the FSP provided notice of cancellation, whether:
 - a. the notice was clear and unequivocal,
 - b. the FSP complied with the requirements of sections 59 and 72A of the *Insurance Contracts Act 1984* (ICA)
 - c. the appropriate timeframe had lapsed.
4. where the FSP did not provide notice of cancellation because it contained a policy provision that complied with section 62(2) of the ICA, whether:
 - a. this provision "clearly informed" the consumer of its effect (i.e. that it would cancel the policy without notification)
 - b. the appropriate minimum timeframe had lapsed (30 days)
 - c. the insurer exercised its right consistent with the policy provision.

In numerous instances, FSPs send letters to consumers informing them of the outstanding premium (reminder letters). In those cases, FOS will determine whether the reminder letter:

- has the effect of cancelling the policy (if the FSP is relying on such a letter in that regard) or
- provides an extension of time – that is, the FSP purports to not exercise a right to cancel until the timeframe in the letter passes
- gives rise to a representation that the due date under the policy was extended and the consumer relied on this.

2 In detail

2.1 Cancelling an insurance contract

What is the difference between an FSP failing to renew a policy and cancelling it?

Most general insurance policies expire at the end of the year as agreed by the parties when the policy is taken out.

Therefore, the FSP's decision to not offer renewal is not a cancellation. It is simply a decision not to enter into another insurance contract with the consumer. Subject to providing notice of when the policy will expire and whether the FSP will offer renewal (section 58 of the ICA), the FSP has no further obligation.

In contrast, a cancellation is the FSP terminating an existing contract earlier than agreed.

It can do so only under certain circumstances. Further, it must satisfy a number of criteria to ensure any such cancellation is effective.

Why must a cancellation be clear and unequivocal?

Generally, legal principles state a party can terminate (cancel) or seek performance of a contract (premium payment) in circumstances when the other party breaches a condition. In such circumstances, the innocent party will be required to choose (or elect) which set of rights to pursue.

This election requires an unequivocal act or clear words showing a choice made between two inconsistent rights. That is, if the party is seeking to cancel the policy, it cannot seek performance of it at the same time.

Further, once that choice is made, the party cannot back out of it.

These principles apply to insurance contracts.

When can the insurer cancel a general insurance contract?

In general insurance contracts, an FSP is only entitled to cancel a contract in a manner predominantly allowed for under section 60 of the ICA.

Section 60(1)(d) states:

“a person who is or was at any time the insured failed to comply with a provision of the contract, including a provision with respect to payment of the premium”.

Insurance contracts where the premium is payable by monthly instalments (“instalment contracts”) will often contain a provision that states when an instalment premium is due and payable.

Financial Ombudsman Service

FOS accepts that when a consumer fails to pay a premium instalment on the due date, the FSP has a right to cancel the contract the following day.

However, a right to cancel a contract does not automatically result in the policy being cancelled. Instead, the FSP may elect to:

- cancel the insurance contract or
- seek payment of the outstanding premium.

How must an FSP exercise a right to cancel?

If the FSP elects to cancel the contract, it must provide written notification pursuant to section 59 of the ICA.

The exception to this is section 62 of the ICA, which is discussed in the next chapter.

In providing notification, the letter must:

- clearly and unequivocally state that the FSP is cancelling the contract
- be sent after the right to cancel the contract arises.

How much time must the FSP allow?

In providing notification, the FSP must be mindful of when the cancellation becomes effective.

For instance, if the policy states an FSP will cancel a policy one month after a premium remains unpaid, FOS regards the cancellation as effective when that timeframe lapses.

This is consistent with fairness as well as:

- section 59(2)(b) of the ICA
- the FSP's obligations to act with utmost good faith
- good industry practice.

However, if the nominated cancellation date is incorrect, this does not invalidate the cancellation notice.

Provided the right to cancel has accrued and the FSP has exercised that right in a clear and unequivocal manner, the cancellation will be effective once the relevant timeframe passes.

2.2 Cancelling an insurance contract without notice

When can an insurer cancel the policy without notice?

Section 62 of the ICA allows an insurer to cancel a general insurance contract without notice if it satisfies the following criteria:

Financial Ombudsman Service

- the policy contains a provision that is inconsistent with sections 59 and 72A
- the FSP seeks to rely on this provision when exercising a right to cancel
- one instalment premium has remained unpaid for at least a month
- the FSP clearly informed the consumer of the effect of the provision before the contract was entered into.

What does ‘clearly inform’ mean?

FOS considers that it is fundamental to clearly inform the consumer of the “effect” of unpaid or overdue premiums.

In FOS’s view, the “effect” requires the FSP to clearly state that the policy will be cancelled without notification after an instalment payment remains unpaid for one month.

Some policies do not satisfy this requirement.

For instance, a provision may state that the policy will be cancelled one month after a premium is overdue. However, such wording is arguably consistent with sections 59 and 72A because it does not clearly state that the FSP will cancel the contract without notice.

If a policy provision does not satisfy the criteria set out in section 62, the FSP cannot cancel the policy without appropriate notice. If it does, FOS’s position is that the policy was cancelled incorrectly and is void in accordance with section 63 of the ICA.

Does the FSP have to rely on the policy provision?

Even if the policy contains a provision that complies with section 62 of the ICA, the FSP does not necessarily have to apply it.

Instead, when a right to cancel the contract arises, the FSP can exercise its right to cancel the contract by:

- providing notice consistent with sections 59 and 72A of the ICA
- following the procedure set out in the policy provision.

In doing so, the FSP should be clear as to what method it is choosing and follow through with the required steps.

For instance, if the policy sets out a process and timeframe of how the FSP will cancel the policy that complies with section 62(2), the FSP must follow through with it.

2.3 Sending reminder letters

Can reminder letters also be relied on to cancel a policy?

Financial Ombudsman Service

It is usual for FSPs to send letters to a consumer when payment has fallen overdue and allowing them another opportunity to pay the premium (**reminder letter**).

However, FSPs also rely on these letters as evidence that it exercised its right to cancel the policy. That is because the letters will often say that if the consumer does not pay a premium on a certain date, the policy will be cancelled.

As stated previously, an FSP must clearly and unequivocally exercise a right to cancel the contract for it to be effective. Such a letter would not satisfy this requirement.

This is because the letter states the FSP will cancel the contract only if something does not happen at a certain date. Such a communication is equivocal and would be considered a threat to cancel rather than the exercise of a right to cancel the contract. This is consistent with relevant case law.

What is the effect of a reminder letter?

It can be confusing as to when the one-month timeframe begins if the reminder letter sets out a new payable date for the premium.

FOS's view is that if the letter clearly communicates the FSP's intention to refrain from exercising a right to cancel until the date in the letter lapses, the FSP must allow that date to lapse before exercising the right to cancel. If it does not, its attempt to cancel the policy is likely to be void in accordance with section 63 of the ICA.

Can an FSP retrospectively cancel the policy after the reminder letter?

There have been instances of an FSP seeking to cancel the contract after sending a reminder letter and having it apply retrospectively. For instance:

- a premium due on 1 April was not paid
- a reminder letter sent on 3 April allowed the consumer until 14 April to pay the premium
- the FSP cancelled the policy on 1 May
- the FSP stated the cancellation was effective from 1 April.

The right to cancel a policy is provided by section 60 of the ICA. Section 59 sets out the notice and timing requirement. In FOS's view, section 59 of the ICA requires the cancellation be "in futuro". That is, the right cannot be exercised retrospectively.

Once the FSP has exercised its right to cancel the contract, it can only operate prospectively.

If the consumer had a claim that arose before the FSP exercised its right to cancel the policy, the FSP cannot deny the claim based on the retrospective cancellation.

What if the consumer believed the due date changed because of the letter?

In some limited cases, consumers have stated that they received the reminder letter and relied on its contents as a representation that the instalment due date had been changed.

This can occur in particular where the insurer relies on the provisions of section 39 of the ICA. The consumer may consider the due date for the instalment has changed.

FOS generally requires the following to be satisfied:

- the letter constituted a representation that the due date had been changed under the policy
- the consumer reasonably relied on that representation
- the consumer acted to their detriment as a result of relying on that letter.

In considering this argument, FOS considers all the circumstances including communications between the parties leading up to the cancellation.

2.4 What are the consequences if the FSP has not followed the ICA?

Section 63 of the ICA states that if the FSP has failed to cancel the policy in accordance with the ICA, any cancellation is void.

FOS's view is that this will generally apply if:

- the FSP cancelled the policy before a right to cancel the contract arose
- the FSP sent a letter attempting to cancel the policy that was not expressed in a clear and unequivocal manner
- section 62 of the ICA has not been satisfied when cancelling a contract without notice (see the next section).

3 Context

3.1 Case studies

Case 1: Unclear intention expressed

The consumer arranged a policy with the FSP on 1 February 2014. The term was for one year and the parties agreed that the premium would be payable in monthly instalments.

The consumer failed to pay a premium instalment on 1 July 2014.

The FSP sent a letter on 17 July 2014 stating the following:

“As your instalment has not been paid by its due date, under the terms and conditions of your policy, it will be cancelled effective from 1 August 2014.

However, you can still make a payment up until 1 August 2014 to continue this policy cover.”

The FSP relied upon this letter, particularly the first paragraph, as evidence of it exercising its right to cancel the policy.

However, when reviewing the contents of the entire letter, FOS did not accept it contained a clear and unequivocal intention to cancel the contract. This is because the second paragraph contradicted the first paragraph because it stated that the policy would not be cancelled if the premium was paid before 1 August 2014.

FOS’s view was that the contents of the whole letter expressed an intention by the FSP to refrain from exercising any right under the policy until 1 August 2014 passed.

Therefore, the FSP could not rely upon this letter as evidence of an effective cancellation notice.

Case 2: Letter clear and unequivocal

This case is similar to the first except for one difference.

In this case, the FSP sent a letter on 17 July 2014 that contained the following:

“Under the terms of your policy, as your payment has not been paid by its due date, your policy will be cancelled effective from 1 August 2014.

If you would like your insurance cover to continue, please call us on [number] ...”

FOS accepted this was a valid cancellation notice because the first paragraph clearly and unequivocally expressed the FSP’s intention to exercise its right to cancel the policy.

Further, the second paragraph did not contradict that first statement. It simply said that if the consumer seeks to have insurance cover, it must contact the FSP.

This could result in the FSP choosing to offer a replacement policy. This is separate to the decision to cancel the existing policy.

In particular, there was no suggestion in the letter that on contacting the FSP, the decision to cancel the policy would be withdrawn.

Financial Ombudsman Service

As a result, the FSP was able to rely on this letter as evidence of exercising its right to cancel the policy. The cancellation took effect on 1 August 2014.

3.2 References

Definitions

Term	Definition
FSP	Financial services provider (a business that has chosen FOS as its external dispute resolution scheme)
ICA	<i>Insurance Contracts Act 1984</i>
Instalment contracts	A general insurance contract where the premium is payable by seven or more instalments a year

Useful links

Document	Title / Link
Insurance Contracts Act	This Commonwealth statute can be found at http://bit.ly/28PINoZ .
Austlii	Austlii is a free resource that contains a full extract of most of the judgments issued in Australia over the past 20 years: http://www.austlii.edu.au